ANNUAL SUMMARY 1980 ISSUED FEBRUARY 1982

CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL

Nater-related Disease Outbreaks

SURVEILLANCE



DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES • Public Health Service

Water-related disease outbreaks surveillance

G. William Gary

PREFACE

This report summarizes information received from state and local health departments and the Environmental Protection Agency. The information is prelimit and is most useful to those persons in disease control activities. Anyone wishing to quote this report should contact the Water-Related Diseases Activity, Enteric Bacteriology and Epidemiology Branch, for further interpretation.

Contributions to the report are most welcome. Please address them to:

Enteric Bacteriology & Epidemiology Branch Bacterial Diseases Division Center for Infectious Diseases Centers for Disease Control Atlanta, Georgia 30333

SUGGESTED CITATION

Centers for Disease Control: Water-Related Disease Outbreaks
Annual Summary 1980, Issued February 1982

Centers for Disease Control
Center for Infectious Diseases
Publications and Graphics
Bacterial Diseases Division
Charles E. Haley, Enteric Bacteriology Section
Statistical Services Activity Stanley M. Martin, M.S., Robert A. Pollard
Viral Diseases Division Gary R. Noble, M.D., Acting Di Enteric and Neurotropic
Viral Diseases Branch Lawrence B. Schonberger, M.D., Jonathan B. Kaplan
Hepatitis and Viral Enteritis Division James E. Maynard, M.D., Di

These organizational designations reflect the organization under which the were collected and analyzed.

^{*}Through June 1981.

^{**}Through August 1981.

Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION

WATERBORNE DISEASE OUTBREAKS, 1980

- A. Definition of Terms
- B. Sources of Data
- C. Interpretation of Data
- D. Analysis of Data
- E. Comments
- F. Investigation of Waterborne Outbreak (Standard Reporting Form)
- G. Line Listing of Waterborne Outbreaks, 1980
- H. Guidelines for Confirmation of Waterborne Disease Outbreaks
- I. References
- J. Listing of Waterborne Outbreak Articles, 1980, from the Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report

OUTBREAKS RELATED TO RECREATIONAL WATER USE, 1980

- A. Sources of Data
- B. Comments
- C. Line Listing of Disease Outbreaks Related to Recreational Water Use, 1980
- D. References

OUTBREAKS OF ACUTE GASTROINTESTINAL DISEASE ON OCEAN-GOING VESSELS

- A. Sources of Data
- B. Comments
- C. References

We wish to thank Edwin C. Lippy, M.S., P.E., and Gunther F. Craun, M.S., P.E., Field Studies Division, Health Effects Research Laboratory, Environmental Protection Agency, for their invaluable assistance in the collection and review of waterborne disease outbreak data. We are also grateful to Harry B. Greenberg, M.D., Epidemiology Section, Laboratory of Infectious Diseases, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, who performed laboratory studies to document the Norwalk virus etiology of several outbreaks. We owe special thanks to Ms. Peggy Hutton and Ms. Dot

Anderson for their secretarial assistance in preparing this report.

I. INTRODUCTION

Since 1971 the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) has tabulated foodborne and waterborne disease outbreak data separately and reported these data in annual reports. The Water-related Diseases Activity has set the following goals: 1) to determine the frequency of epidemics of water-related diseases in the United States, 2) to characterize the epidemiology of water-related diseases, 3) to disseminate information on prevention and control of water-related diseases to appropriate public health personnel, 4) to train federal, state, and local health department personnel in epidemiologic techniques for the investigation of water-related disease outbreaks, and 5) to collaborate with local, state, other federal and international agencies in initiatives concerning prevention of water-related diseases. Also included in the responsibilities of the Water-related Diseases Activity is the investigation of outbreaks of acute gastrointestinal disease on ocean-going vessels.

II. WATERBORNE DISEASE OUTBREAKS, 1980

In 1980, 50 outbreaks of waterborne disease involving 20,008 cases were reported to the Centers for Disease Control (CDC).

A. Definition of Terms

A waterborne disease outbreak is an incident in which 1) 2 or more persons experienced similar illness after consumption of water, or after use of water, intended for drinking, and 2) epidemiologic evidence implicated the water as the source of illness. In addition, a single case of chemical poisoning constitutes an outbreak if laboratory studies indicated that the water was contaminated by the chemical. Only outbreaks associated with water intended for drinking are included.

Community public water systems (municipal systems) are public or investor-owned water systems that serve large or small communities, subdivisions or trailer parks of at least 15 service connections or 25 year-round residents. Noncommunity public water systems (semi-public water systems) are those in institutions, industries, camps, parks, hotels, or service stations that may be used by the general public. Individual systems (private water systems), generally wells and springs, are those used by single or several residences or by persons traveling outside populated areas. These definitions correspond to those in the Safe Drinking Water Act (PL 93-523) of 1974.

B. Sources of Data

State health departments report waterborne disease outbreaks to CDC on a standard reporting form (Section F). In addition, the Health Effects Research Laboratory of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) contacts all state water-supply agencies annually to obtain information about waterborne disease outbreaks; information from both sources is included in this report. Representatives from CDC and EPA review and summarize outbreak data and also work together in the investigation and evaluation of waterborne disease outbreaks. In addition, upon request by state health departments, CDC and EPA offer epidemiologic assistance, provide consultation in the engineering and environmental aspects of water treatment, and, when indicated, collect large volume water samples for identification of viruses, parasites, and bacterial pathogens.

Interpretation of Data

The limitations of the data in this report must be appreciated to avoid misinter-The number of waterborne disease outbreaks reported to CDC and EPA clearly represents a fraction of the total number that occur. Since investigations were sometimes incomplete or conducted long after the outbreak, the waterborne hypothesis could not be proved in all instances; however, it was the most logical explanation in these outbreaks. The likelihood of an outbreak coming to the attention of health authorities varies considerably from 1 locale to another depending largely upon consumer awareness, physician interest, and disease surveillance activities of state and local health and environmental agencies. Large interstate outbreaks and outbreaks of serious illness are more likely to come to the attention of health authorities. The quality of investigation conducted by state or local health departments varies considerably according to the department's interest in waterborne diseases and its budgetary, investigative, and laboratory capabilities.

This report should not be the basis for firm conclusions about the true incidence of waterborne disease outbreaks, and it should not be used to draw firm conclusions about the relative incidence of waterborne diseases of various etiologies. number of reported outbreaks of different etiologies may depend upon the interest of a particular health department or individual. If an epidemiologist or microbiologist becomes interested in Giardia lamblia or Norwalk-like viruses, he is likely to confirm more outbreaks caused by these agents. Furthermore, a few outbreaks involving very large numbers of persons may vastly alter the relative proportion of cases attributed to various etiologic agents.

These data are helpful, however, in revealing the etiologies of reported waterborne disease outbreaks, the seasonality of outbreaks, and the deficiencies in water systems that most frequently result in outbreaks. As in the past, the pathogens responsible for many outbreaks in 1980 remain unknown. It is hoped that more complete epidemiologic investigations, advances in laboratory techniques, and standardization of reporting of waterborne disease outbreaks will augment our knowledge of waterborne pathogens and the factors responsible for waterborne disease outbreaks.

Analysis of Data

In 1980, 50 outbreaks involving an estimated 20,008 persons were reported to CDC and EPA. This is the largest number of outbreaks reported in a single year since the beginning of the current surveillance system in 1971 (Table 1).

Table 1 Waterborne Disease Outbreaks, by Year and Type of System, United States, 1971-1980

	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980	TOTAL	(%)
Community Noncommunity Private	5 10 4	10 18 2	5 16 3	11 10 5	6 16 2	9 23 3	12 19 3	10 18 4	23 14 4	23 22 5	114 166 35	(36) (53) (11)
TOTAL	19	30	24	26	24	35	34	32	41	50	315	
TOTAL CASES	5182	1650	1784	8363	10879	5068	3860	11435	9720	20008	77974	

Twenty-five states reported at least 1 outbreak. For the eighth consecutive year Pennsylvania reported more outbreaks than any other state (10/50-20.0%).

Table 2 shows the number of outbreaks and cases by etiology and type of water system. Of the 50 outbreaks, 28 (56.0%) were of unknown etiology and were designated as "acute gastrointestinal illness" (AGI). This category includes outbreaks characterized by upper or lower gastrointestinal symptoms for which no etiologic agent was identified. The remaining 22 (44.0%) outbreaks were of a confirmed etiology:

G. lamblia (7), chemical (7), Shigella (1), Norwalk agent (5), Campylobacter (1), and hepatitis (1). In 2 of the 4 outbreaks with over 1000 persons affected, an etiologic agent was found.

Table 2 Waterborne Disease Outbreaks by Etiology and Type of Water System, 1980

	Pub	lic Wat	er Systems		Priva	te		
	Commun	ity	Noncomm	unity	Water Systems		Tota	1
	Outbreaks	Cases	Outbreaks	Cases	Outbreaks	Cases	Outbreaks	Cases
AGI*	11	12542	15	661	2	17	28	13220
Giardia	5	1689	2	35	0	0	7	1724
Chemical	4	2096	1	200	2	2	7	2298
Norwalk Agent	2	1690	3	224	0	0	5	1914
Shigella	0	0	1	4	0	0	1	4
Campylobacter	1	800	0	0	0	0	1	800
Hepatitis	0	0	0	0	1	48	1	48
Total	23	18817	22	1124	5	67	50	20008

^{*}Acute gastrointestinal illness of unknown etiology

In the 43 nonchemical outbreaks, results of microbiologic tests of water samples were reported in 37; evidence of contamination (presence of coliforms or pathogens) was found in 32. Most outbreaks involved noncommunity (44.0%) and community (46.0%) public water systems. Outbreaks attributed to water from community public water systems affected an average of 818 persons compared with 51 persons in noncommunity public water system outbreaks and 13 persons in outbreaks involving individual water systems (Table 2). Use of untreated or inadequately treated water accounted for 29 (58.0%) of the outbreaks (Table 3). Outbreaks occurred most frequently from June through September (Table 4).

Table 3 Waterborne Disease Outbreaks, by Type of System and Type of Deficiency, 1980

	Public Wa	ter Systems	Private	
	Community	Noncommunity	Water Systems	Total
	Outbreaks	Outbreaks	Outbreaks	Outbreaks
	_			
Untreated surface water	0	1	0	1
Untreated ground water	0	9	1	10
Treatment deficiencies	11	5	2	18
Deficiencies in				
distribution system	5	2	0	7
Miscellaneous	5	1	2	8
Uncertain	2	4	0	6
TOTAL	23	22	5	50
TOTAL	23	22	5	50

Table 4 Waterborne Disease Outbreaks, by Month of Occurrence, United States, 1980

Month	Number of Outbreaks	Month	Number of Outbreaks
January February March April May June	3 0 3 2 1 8	July August September October November December	12 9 7 1 1 3
		Total:	50

Outbreaks in recreational areas continued to be a problem in 1980, accounting for 24.0% of all outbreaks. Of the 22 outbreaks associated with noncommunity public water systems, implicated water supplies were in camps and campgrounds (8), schools (3), parks (2), towns (2), a restaurant (1), a store (1), a city (1), a rural area (1), a subdivision (1), a trailer park (1), and an apartment building (1).

In 10 of the 28 outbreaks of acute gastroenteritis of unknown etiology an incubation period was reported. In all but one instance the median incubation period was less than 48 hours, and the mean was approximately 46 hours.

E. Comments

The increase in the number of outbreaks reported in 1980 is probably due to more complete reporting rather than an actual increase. Intensive surveillance can identify relatively small waterborne disease outbreaks that often originate in noncommunity public water systems. It is hoped that increased investigation and reporting will define major deficiencies commonly affecting noncommunity public water systems, especially in recreational areas, so that they can be better understood and corrected. However, in many instances investigations have not been initiated until long after the outbreaks have occurred, precluding timely collection of specimens for determining the etiology.

Water systems used on a seasonal basis such as those in camps, parks, and resorts have an abnormal demand placed upon them by large numbers of visitors during specific periods of the year and in some instances cannot meet such demands. For the most part these are noncommunity systems. Water supply systems in such areas, especially campgrounds and parks, must be reevaluated and monitored, and corrections made to ensure the continued provision of safe water during periods of increased demand. The large outbreaks that occurred in 1975 in Crater Lake National Park (1) and Yellowstone National Park (2) underscore the problems related to water supplies in recreational areas that can occur.

For the second year in a row, the number of outbreaks related to community systems exceeded the number related to noncommunity systems. The number of cases per outbreak in a community system (818) was 16 times that in a noncommunity system (51), underscoring the huge potential health risk of faults in community systems. Two agents that had not been recognized until relatively recently again caused outbreaks in 1980, Campylobacter jejuni, and Norwalk agent. Five outbreaks, the largest number ever, were attributed to the Norwalk agent. This upsurge in the number of cases attributed to this organism probably represents an increase in awareness and diagnostic ability rather than an actual increase in incidence. Hepatitis A virus was the etiology of at least some of the cases in 3 outbreaks. It all of these, there was evidence of fecal contamination of the implicated water.

Again, one cannot overemphasize the caution that must be exercised in interpretation of these data. The waterborne disease surveillance system is, for the most part, a passive surveillance system. There is evidence to suggest that this report contains only a small fraction of the outbreaks and cases that occur each year in the United States. Supporting this is the fact that one state, Pennsylvania, with its extremely well-developed suveillance system, reported a full 20% of all the outbreaks in 1980. Three states, Vermont, Colorado, and Washington, are receiving federal funds for surveillance in 1981 through contracts with EPA. In the future, analysis of data from these states and Pennsylvania may provide a much more accurate representation of the magnitude of waterborne disease.

In addition to 50 outbreaks related to drinking water systems, 3 outbreaks were reported that resulted from contaminated water not meant for drinking (Table 5). All 3 resulted from drinking untreated surface water. Two occurred in groups of hikers in back-country areas, I caused by Giardia, the other by Campylobacter. The third was of undetermined etiology. Water in natural springs and creeks should be considered nonpotable and should be disinfected before it is consumed.

Table 5 Waterborne Disease Outbreaks Not Related to Potable Water Systems, United States, 1980

State	Month	Etiology	Cases	Water Source	Location
Ohio	August	AGI	10	Creek	Rural Area
Washington	April	Giardia	6	Creek	Wilderness
Wyoming	May-August	Campylobacter	21	Creeks	Wilderness

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL CENTER FOR INFECTIOUS DISEASES

F. INVESTIGATION OF A WATERBORNE OUTBREAK

Form Approved
OMB No.0920-0004

Where did the outbreak occur?								
(1	1-2) City or Tow	/n		County				(3
Indicate actual (a) or estimated			sed persons:			Incubation pe	riad (hours):	
(e) numbers:						Shortest	{40-42} Longe	est (43-4
Persons exposed(9-1				(18-2	0)		(46	
Persons III(12-				(21-2				
Hospitalized (15-				rrhea (33-3				
Fatal cases (17)				ver (36-3	8)	Shortest (49-51) Longest		
			_ (30-32)		Median (55-57)			5-57)
		, specify (ᅼ			
Epidemiologic data (e.g., attack rat attack rate by quantity of water co	tes (number ill/nu onsumed, anecdot	al informa	osed] for person tion) * (58)	ons who did or did not	eat or	drink specific	food items or w	
			PERSONS WH		N		DID NOT EAT	
ITEMS SERVED	ILL	NOT ILL	TOTAL	PERCENT	HLL	NOT ILL	TOTAL	PERCENT ILL
							i i	
						1	!	-
				+				
				1			}	
				i i				
	++							
	+							
	(A) Type of Mur Ind Serr	f water sup nicipal or covidual hou ni-public wi finstitution Camp, recr Other,	oply** (61) community sup- usehold supply- vater supply usehool, churce reational area	ply (Name				
Water supply characteristics	(A) Type of Mur Ind.	f water sup nicipal or c widual hou ni-public w Institution Camp, recr	oply** (61) community sup- isehold supply ater supply , school, church reational area	ply (Name				
Water supply characteristics (B) Water source (check all apple	(A) Type of Mur Ind.	f water sup nicipal or covidual hou ni-public wi finstitution Camp, recr Other,	oply** (61) community sup- usehold supply ater supply , school, churc reational area	ply (Nameh			eæch source che	cked in B):
Water supply characteristics (B) Water source (check all applie	(A) Type of Mur Ind.	f water supplicipal or covidual houni-public willing trution Camp, recriptive Other,tiled_water	oply** (61) community sup- usehold supply ater supply , school, churc reational area C d	ply (Name	d (circle		eæch source che	≿ked in B):
(B) Water source (check all applic	(A) Type of Mur Ind.	f water sup nicipal or covidual hou- ni-public with statution Camp, recr Other, itled water	oply** (61) community sup- isehold supply ater supply , school, churc reational area c d c d	h (C) Treatment provide a. no treatment b. disinfection only	d (circle	e treatment of		cked in B).
(B) Water source (check all applied Well	(A) Type of Mur Ind.	f water supplicipal or covidual houni-public willing trution Camp, recriptive Other,tiled_water	oply** (61) community sup- usehold supply ater supply , school, churc reational area C d	h (C) Treatment provide a. no treatment	d (circle	e treatment of gulation, settli		cked in B):
(B) Water source (check all applic	(A) Type of Mur Ind.	f water sup nicipal or convidual houni-public with final fution Camp, recr Other, itled water	pply** (61) community sup- isehold supply ater supply , school, churc reational area c d c d c d	h (C) Treatment provide a. no treatment b. disinfection only c. purification plan	d (circle	e treatment of gulation, settli		≿ked in BJ:
(B) Water source (check all applied by Water source) Well Spring Lake, pond River, stream	(A) Type of Mur Ind. Sem Bot	f water sup nicipal or convidual houni-public with final fution Camp, recr Other, itled water	pply** (61) community sup- isehold supply ater supply , school, churc reational area c d c d c d	h (C) Treatment provide a. no treatment b. disinfection only c. purification plan disinfection (cir	d (circle	e treatment of gulation, settli		cked in B):
☐ Well ☐ Spring ☐ Lake, poild ☐ River, stream 0. Point where contamination occur	(A) Type of Mur Ind. Sem Bot	f water sup nicipal or covidual houni-public with final futurion Camp, recr Other, itled water a b a b a b	pply** (61) community sup- isehold supply ater supply , school, churce reational area C d C d C d C d	h (C) Treatment provide a. no treatment b. disinfection only c. purification plan disinfection (cir	d (circle	e treatment of gulation, settli		cked in B):

Tap water X 6/12/74 10 feet coliforms per 100 ml. Examples: Raw water X 6/2/74 23 total coliforms per 100 ml. 23 total coliforms per 100 ml. 24 Treatment records: (Indicate method used to determine chlorine residual): Example: Chlorine residual — One sample from treatment plant effluent on 6/11/74 — rox residual found 3. Specimens from patients examined istool, vomitus, stc.) (68) SPECIMEN PERSONS Example: Stool 11 8 Salmonella typhi 13 a negative 14 Unusual occurrence of events: Example: Repair of water main 6/11/74-pit contaminated with sewage, no main disinfection. Turbid water reported by consumers 8/12/74. 5. Fectors contributing to outbreak (check ell applicable): Overflow of sewage Interruption of disinfection Use of water not intended for drinking Hooding, heavy rains Desticences in other treatment processes Contamination of storage facility Use of water not intended for drinking Hooding, heavy rains Desticences in other treatment processes Contamination of storage facility Use of water not intended for drinking Hooding, heavy rains Desticences in other treatment processes Contamination of storage facility Use of water not intended for drinking Hooding, heavy rains Desticences in other treatment processes Contamination of storage facility Contaminat		1754			_	FIND	INGS	BACTERIOLOGIC TECHNIQUE	
Examples: Raw water X 6/2/74 Par 100 ml. 2. Treatment records: * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		ITEM	ORIGI	NAL CHECK U	DATE	Quantitative	Qualitative		
Raw water	Examp		ter X		6/12/74	per 100 ml.			
Example: Chlorine residual — One sample from treatment plant effluent on 6/11/74 — trace of free chlorine Three samples from distribution system on 6/12/74 — no residual found on 6/12/7			ter	×	6/2/74				
Example: Chlorine residual — One sample from treatment plant effluent on 6/11/74 — trace of free chlorine Three samples from distribution system on 6/12/74 — no residual found 3. Specimens from patients examined (stool, vomitus, etc.) (68) SPECIMEN NO. PERSONS FINDINGS Example: Stool 11 8 Salmonella typhi 3 negative 3 negative 5. Factors contributing to outbreak (check ell applicable): Overflow of sewage Interruption of disinfection Use of water not intended for drinking Deficiencies in other treatment processes Contamination of storage facility Use of supplementary source Water inadequated yreated Contamination of mains during construction or repair 5. Example: Repair of water main 6/11/74; pit contaminated with sewage, no main disinfection. Turbid water reported by consumers 6/12/74. 1									
Example: Chlorine residual — One sample from treatment plant effluent on 6/11/74 — trace of free chlorine Three samples from distribution system on 6/12/74 — no residual found 3. Specimens from patients examined (stool, vomitus, etc.) (68) SPECIMEN NO. PERSONS FINDINGS Example: Stool 11 8 Salmonella typhi 3 negative 5. Factors contributing to outbreak (check all applicable): Overflow of sewage Interruption of disinfection Use of water not intended for drinking Plooding, heavy rains Deficiencies in other treatment processes Contamination of storage facility Use of untreated water Cross-connection Contamination through creviced limestone or fissured rolling to proceed Contamination of mains during construction or repair									
Example: Chlorine residual — One sample from treatment plant effluent on 6/11/74 — trace of free chlorine Three samples from distribution system on 6/12/74 — no residual found 3. Specimens from patients examined (stool, vomitus, etc.) (68) SPECIMEN NO. PERSONS FINDINGS Example: Stool 11 8 Salmonella typhi 3 negative 5. Factors contributing to outbreak (check all applicable): Overflow of sewage Interruption of disinfection Use of water not intended for drinking Plooding, heavy rains Deficiencies in other treatment processes Contamination of storage facility Use of untreated water Cross-connection Contamination through creviced limestone or fissured rolling to proceed Contamination of mains during construction or repair									
Example: Chlorine residual — One sample from treatment plant effluent on 6/11/74 — trace of free chlorine Three samples from distribution system on 6/12/74 — no residual found 3. Specimens from patients examined (stool, vomitus, etc.) (68) SPECIMEN NO. FERSONS FINDINGS Example: Stool 11 8 Salmonella typhi 3 negetive 5. Factors contributing to outbreak (check all applicable): Overflow of sewage Interruption of disinfection Use of water not intended for drinking Plooding, heavy rains Deficiencies in other treatment processes Contamination of storage facility Use of supplementary source Back-siphonage Other (specify) Use of supplementary source Back-siphonage Contamination of mains during construction or repair Suspected 1 Confirmed Confirmed 2 (Circle one Other Use parks Ruelly describe aspects of the investigation not covered above, such as unusual age or sex distribution, unusual circumstances									
Example: Chlorine residual — One sample from treatment plant effluent on 6/11/74 — trace of free chlorine Three samples from distribution system on 6/12/74 — no residual found 3. Specimens from patients examined (stool, vomitus, etc.) (68) SPECIMEN NO. FERSONS FINDINGS Example: Stool 11 8 Salmonella typhi 3 negetive 5. Factors contributing to outbreak (check all applicable): Overflow of sewage Interruption of disinfection Use of water not intended for drinking Plooding, heavy rains Deficiencies in other treatment processes Contamination of storage facility Use of supplementary source Back-siphonage Other (specify) Use of supplementary source Back-siphonage Contamination of mains during construction or repair Suspected 1 Confirmed Confirmed 2 (Circle one Other Use parks Ruelly describe aspects of the investigation not covered above, such as unusual age or sex distribution, unusual circumstances									
### Arrive samples from distribution system on 6/12/74 — no residual found 3. Specimens from patients examined (stool, vomitus, etc.) (68) SPECIMEN	2. Treatm	ent records: (In	dicate method	d used to determi	ne chlorine reside	(al):		1	
on 6/12/74 — no residual found 3. Specimens from patients examined (stool, vomitus, etc.) (68) SPECIMEN NO. FINDINGS Example: Repair of water main 6/11/74; pit contaminated with sewage, no main disinfection. Turbid water reported by consumers 6/12/74. 5. Factors contributing to outbreak (check all applicable): Overflow of sewage Interruption of disinfection Improper construction, location of well/spring Seepage of sewage Inadequate disinfection Use of water not intended for drinking Use of untreated water Cross-connection Contamination of storage facility Use of untreated water Cross-connection Other (specify) Suspected 1 Water inadequately treated Contamination of mains during construction or repair Suspected 1 Chemical Confirmed 2 (Gircle one Other Contamination not covered above, such as unusual age or sex distribution, unusual circumstances	Examp	le: Chlorine r	eff	luent on 6/11/74					
3. Specimens from patients examined (stool, vomitus, etc.) (68) SPECIMEN NO. FINDINGS Example: Repair of water main 6/11/74; pit contaminated with sewage, no main disinfection. Turbid water reported by consumers 8/12/74. 5. Factors contributing to outbreak (check all applicable): Overflow of sewage Interruption of disinfection Use of water not intended for drinking Flooding, heavy rains Deficiencies in other treatment processes Contamination of storage facility Use of supplementary source Back-siphonage Other Indigental of the supplementary source Sectiology: (69-70) Suspected Other Suspected 1 Confirmed Confirmed 2 (Circle one Other Unknown 3 Details in not covered above, such as unusual age or sex distribution, unusual circumstances						em			
SPECIMEN NO. PERSONS Example: Stool 11 8 Salmonella typhi Sample: Stool 11 8 Salmonella typhi Sample: Stool 11 8 Salmonella typhi Sample: Stool 12 Salmonella typhi Sample: Stool 13 14 Salmonella typhi Salmonella typh	3. Specim	ens from patient				14. Unusual occurre	ence of events:		
Example: Stool 11 8 Salmonella typhi by consumers 6/12/74. 3 negative			NO.						
3 negative 3 negative	Examp	le: Stool		8 Salmonella tv	ohi				
Overflow of sewage Interruption of disinfection Improper construction, focation of well/spring					<u></u>				
Overflow of sewage Interruption of disinfection Improper construction, focation of well/spring									
Overflow of sewage Interruption of disinfection Improper construction, focation of well/spring									
Overflow of sewage Interruption of disinfection Improper construction, focation of well/spring									
Overflow of sewage Interruption of disinfection Improper construction, focation of well/spring			-						
Overflow of sewage Interruption of disinfection Improper construction, focation of well/spring									
Seepage of sewage Inadequate disinfection Use of water not intended for drinking Flooding, heavy rains Deficiencies in other treatment processes Contamination of storage facility Use of untreated water Cross-connection Contamination through creviced limestone or fissured row Use of supplementary source Back-siphonage Other (specify)	_		_					- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1-	
Flooding, heavy rains Deficiencies in other treatment processes Contamination of storage facility Use of untreated water Cross-connection Contamination through creviced limestone or fissured row Use of supplementary source Back-siphonage Other (specify) Water inadequately treated Contamination of mains during construction or repair Etiology: (69-70) (71) Pathogen Suspected 1 Chemical Confirmed 2 Contamination of mains during construction or repair Other Suspected 3 Chemical Other Unknown 3 Remarks: Briefly describe aspects of the investigation not covered above, such as unusual age or sex distribution; unusual circumstances	_	State and in State of the State	_						
Use of untreated water						_			
Use of supplementary source Back-siphonage Other (specify) Water inadequately treated Contamination of mains during construction or repair Etiology: (69-70) Pathogen Suspected 1 Chemical Confirmed 2(Gircle one Other Unknown 3									
Water inadequately treated Contamination of mains during construction or repair Etiology: (69-70) (71) Pathogen Suspected 1 Chemical Confirmed 2 (Circle one other Unknown 3		make and the state of the state of	_			_		- 11 16 17 16 16 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17	
Pathogen Suspected 1 Chemical Confirmed 21Circle one Other Unknown 3		and the second s	_	Contamination of	f mains during c	onstruction or repair			
Chemical Confirmed	The second of the second								
Other Unknown 3 7. Remarks: Briefly describe aspects of the investigation not covered above, such as unusual age or sex distribution; unusual circumstances									
Remarks: Briefly describe aspects of the investigation not covered above, such as unusual age or sex distribution; unusual circumstances									
	_		(2.14) (A. 14)						
leading to contamination of water; epidemic curve; control measures implemented; etc. (Attack additional page if necessary)	leading	ts: Briefly describ to contamination	n of water: eo	ine investigation i idemic curve; con	trol measures im	plemented; etc. (Attack	additional page if nec	essary)	
			172)				<u> </u>		
ame of reporting agency: (72)	ame of re	porting agency:	1,51						
	ame of re	porting agency:							
ame of reporting agency: (72) Investigating Official: Date of investigation:						Date of in	vestigation:		
						Date of in	vestigation:		
Note: Epidemic and Laboratory assistance for the investigation of a waterborne outbreak is available upon request by the State Health Department to the Centers for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia 30333.	Note.	epidemic and Lito the Centers for	aboratory assor Disease Co	ntrol, Atlanta, Ge	orgia 30333.	aterborne outbreak is av	ailable upon request b	by the State Health Department	
Note: Epidemic and Laboratory assistance for the investigation of a waterborne outbreak is available upon request by the State Health Department to the Centers for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia 30333. To improve national surveillance, please send a copy of this report to: Centers for Disease Control	Note.	epidemic and Lito the Centers for	aboratory assor Disease Co	ntrol, Atlanta, Ge	orgia 30333.	aterborne outbreak is av	ailable upon request b		
Note: Epidemic and Laboratory assistance for the investigation of a waterborne outbreak is available upon request by the State Health Department to the Centers for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia 30333.	Note.	epidemic and Lito the Centers for	aboratory assor Disease Co	ntrol, Atlanta, Ge	orgia 30333.	aterborne outbreak is avi Centers for Disease Co Attn: Enteric Diseases Center for Infec	ailable upon request b introl Branch, Bacterial Dis tious Diseases		

	Alaska	Sept	Giardia	189
	Arizona	July	AGI	40
	California	May	AGI	41
	California	Jan	Norwalk agent	190
	Colorado	Mar	AGI	22+
	Colorado	June	AGI	18
	Colorado	Jul y	AGI	5
	Colorado	Aug	AGI	38
	Colorado	Aug	AGI	34
	Connecticut	Sept	Campylobacter	800
	Georgia	Aug	Norwalk agent	1500
	Georgia	Dec	Sodium Hydroxide	17 GI
				34 skin
				5 eye
	Illinois	April	AGI	30+
	Indiana+	Aug	Shigella	4+
00	Maryland	July	Norwalk agent	1 27
	Michigan	July	AGI	6
	Michigan	Sept	AGI	60
	Minnesota	June	AGI	30
	Montana	June	Giardia	780
	New Mexico	July	AGI (?Giardia,	24+
			?Campy)	
	New Mexico	June	nitrate	1
	New York	Aug	Norwalk agent	42
	Ohio	July	AGI	28
	Ohio	Aug	AGI	25
	Ohio	Aug	AGI	7 38
	Oregon	June	Giardia	63
	Pennsylvania	Jan	AGI	3
	Pennsylvania	Mar	Giardia	15
	Pennsylvania	July	Norwalk agent	55

0+4--

С	harge				4	lake
NC	campground				2	well
C	Indian reservation				3	creek
C	resort community				3	lake
C	recreation area			?	3	creek
C	city				4	river
NC	store				2	well
NC	campground				3	well
NC	city				2	wells
С	college campus				5	reservoir
C	city		?4	or	5	springs
C	town				5	well
NC	school	2,	3,	or	4	well?
NC	campground				2	we 11
NC	camp				4	well
NC	national park				1	surface
NC	school				2	well
NC	town		?2	or	4	we 11
C	city				3	stream
C	town				3	well and
						river
P	home				5	well
NC	campground				2	well
NC	state park		3	or	4	well
NC	camp				3	well
C	town				3	well
C	city				3	stream
В	towns				5	unknown
NC	apt building				3	spring
NC	restaurant				3	well
NC	7.0mm		22	M pul	^	

					• • • • •	I UI UI CITCU		4	W C I I
	rennsylvania	July	AGI	90	C	sub-division		3	well
	Pennsylvania	0ct	hepatítis	48	P	rural area		3	well
	Rhode Island	Sept	trichlorethylene	20	С	town		5	we 11
	South Carolina	July	AGI	106	NC	trailer park		2	well
	Tennessee	Aug	AGI	5	P	town		3	we JJ
	Texas	July	AGI	2550	С	city		5	surface
	Texas	June	AGI +	8000?	C	ci ty		3	wells
			hepatitis			,			
	Utah	June	AGI	105	NC	sub-division		2.	spring
	Vermont	Sept	fluoride	?200	NC	school		3	surface
	Vermont	June	AGI	990	C	city		3	springs/
						-			surface
	Washington	Jan	Giardia	?79	С	city	?3 or	4	river or
									stream
	Washington	Mar	Giardia	578	С	town		3	river
	Washington	July	AGI	23	С	town		4	well
	Washington	Sept	Giardia	20	NC	c amp		4	river
9	Washington	Sept	AGI	46+	С	city		4	well
	Wisconsin	July	AGI +	12(3)	P	pl ant		2	well
			hepatitis						
	** C = commu	nity (mated suricies (5	rface water (2) unt:) miscellaneous	-community (semi-public); P = private (ind- treatment deficiend	ividual); l cies (4) d:	B = hor	ttled water ution

iuiai aita

H. Guldelines for whilimation of materioline							
Etic	ologic Agent	Cli	nical Syndrome	Ep	idemiologic Criteria		
1.	Escherichia coli	a)	Incubation period 6-36 hours	a)	Demonstration of organisms of same serotype in epidemio-logically incriminated water and stool of ill individuals and not in stools of controls—OR-		
		b)	Gastrointestinal syndrome: marjority of cases with diarrhea	b)	Isolation of organisms of the same serotype which have been shown to be enterotoxigenic or invasive by special laboratory techniques from stool of most ill individuals.		
2.	Salmonella	a)	Incubation period 6-48 hrs	a)	Isolation of Salmonella organism from epidemiologically implicated water -OR-		
		ъ)	Castrointestinal syndrome: majority of cases with diarrhea	b)	Isolation of Salmonella organism from stools or tissues of ill individuals		
3.	Shigella	a)	Incubation period 12-48 hrs	a)	Isolation of Shigella organism from epidemiologically implicated water.		
		b)	Castrointestinal syndrome: majority of cases with diarrhea	ь)	Isolation of Shigella organism from stools of ill individuals.		
4.	<u>Campylobacter</u> <u>jejuni</u>	a)	Incubation period usually 2-5 days	a)	Isolation of Campylobacter organisms from epidemiologically implicated water -OR-		
		b)	Gastrointestinal syndrome: majority of cases with diarrhea	b)	Isolation of <u>Campylobacter</u> organisms from stools of ill individuals.		
5.	Yersinia enterocolitica	a)	Incubation period 3-7 days	a)	Isolation of Yersinia organisms from epidemiologically implicated water -OR-		
		b)	Gastrointestinal syndrome: majority of cases with diarrhea or cramps	ь)	Isolation of Yersinia organisms from stools of ill individuals.		
				c)	Significant rise in bacterial agglutinating antibodies in acute and early convalescent sera.		
6.	Others	ap	inical and laboratory data praised in individual rcumstances				

Etiologic Agent		Clinical Syndrome	Epidemiologic Criteria	
CHE	MICAL			
1.	Heavy metals Antimony Cadmium	a) Incubation period 5 min. to 8 hours (usually <1 hour)	Demonstration of high concentra- tion of metallic fon in epidemio- logically incriminated vater.	
	Copper Iron Tin Zinc, etc.	b) Clinical syndrome compatible with heavy metal poisoning— usually gastrointestinal syndrome and often metallic taste		
2.	Fluoride	a) Incubation period usually <1 hr	Demonstration of high concentra- tion of fluoride ion in epidemio- logically incriminated water.	
		 Gastrointestinal illness usually nausea, vomiting, and abdominal pain 	rogreatly inclinimates water.	
3.	Other chemicals	Clinical and laboratory data appraised in individual circumstances		
PAR	AS IT IC			
1.	Giardia lamblia	a) Incubation period 1-4 weeks	 a) Demonstration of <u>Giardia</u> cysts in epidemiologically incriminated water 	
		<pre>b) Gastrointestinal syndrome: chronic diarrhea, cramps, fatigue and weight loss</pre>	b) Demonstration of <u>Giardia</u> trophs or cysts in stools or duodenal aspirates of ill individuals.	
2.	Ent ameba histolytica	a) Incubation period: usually 2-4 weeks	a) Demonstration of Entamoeba histolytica cysts in epi- demiologically incriminated water -OR-	
		b) Variable: gastrointestinal syndrome from acute ful- minating dysentery with fever, chills, and bloody stools to mild abdominal discomfort with diarrhea	b) Demonstration of Fntamoeba histolytica trophs or cysts in stools of affected individuals	
3.	Others	Clinical and laboratory data ap- praised in individual circumstances		
VIR	AL			
1.	Hepatitis A	a) Incubation period 14-28 days	Liver function tests compatible with hepatitis in affected	
		b) Clinical syndrome compatible	persons who consumed the epide-	

b) Clinical syndrome compatible with hepatitis—usually including jaundice, GI symptoms, dark urine

persons who consumed the epidemiologically incriminated food.

iologic Agent	Clinical Syndrome	Epidemiologic Criteria
Norwalk and Norwalk-like agents	a) Incubation period 16-72 hours	 a) Demonstration of virus particles in stool of ill individuals by immune electron microscopy
	b) Gastrointestinal syndrome:	-OR-
	vomiting, watery, diarrhea, abdominal cramps	b) Significant rise in anti- viral antibody in paired sera
. Rotavirus	a) Incubation period 24-72 hours	 a) Demonstration of the virus in the stool of ill individuals
	 b) Gastrointestinal syndrome: vomiting, watery diarrhea, abdominal cramps 	b) Significant rise in antiviral antibody in paired sera
. Enterovirus	a) Incubation period: Variable	a) Isolation of virus from epi- demiologically implicated water -OR-
	b) Syndrome: Variable; poliomagnetis, aseptic meningitis, herpangina, etc.	b) Isolation of virus from ill individuals
Others	Clinical and laboratory evidence appraised in individual	

circumstances

I. References

- l. Rosenberg ML, Koplan, JP, Wachsmuth IK, et al. Epidemic diarrhea at Crater Lake from enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli. Ann Intern Med 1977;86:714-18.
- 2. Center for Disease Control. Gastroenteritis--Yellowstone National Park, Wyoming. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Rep 1977;26:283.
- 3. Center for Disease Control. Shigellosis and Salmonellosis--Morocco. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Rep 1963;12:438-439.

J. <u>Listing of Waterborne Outbreak Articles</u>, 1980, from the Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report

Centers for Disease Control. Waterborne Illness - South Carolina. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Rep 1980;29:585.

Centers for Disease Control. Community Water Supply Contaminated with Caustic Soda - Georgia. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Rep 1981;30:67.

III. DISEASE OUTBREAKS RELATED TO RECREATIONAL WATER USE. 1980

A. Sources of Data

As with disease outbreaks associated with drinking water, the sources of data for outbreaks associated with recreational water use are the state epidemiologists and their staffs. However, reporting of these disease outbreaks is not systematic; therefore, the outbreaks reported here certainly represent a small fraction of the total number that occur. The likelihood of an outbreak coming to the attention of health authorities varies considerably from I locale to another, depending largely upon consumer awareness and physician interest. We have included in this section infections or intoxications related to recreational water, but have excluded wound infections caused by water-related organisms. Before 1978, outbreaks or cases of disease related to recreational use of water were not tabulated so comparisons with previous years cannot be made.

B. Comments

Twelve outbreaks related to recreational use of water were reported to CDC in 1980 (Section C).

Seven of the outbreaks were related to swimming. Six of these were gastroenteritis epidemics, 4 were caused by Shigella, and 2 were of unknown etiology. Transmission occurred in small fresh water lakes in 5 outbreaks and in a swimming pool in the other. One outbreak of conjunctivitis was caused by adenovirus acquired from a swimming pool.

Epidemic gastroenteritis in relation to swimming is not commonly reported in the medical literature. Examples of such reports include an outbreak of shigellosis after swimming in a river (4), an outbreak of shigellosis after swimming in a pool (5), an outbreak of viral gastroenteritis after swimming in a pool (Kappus, Karl, personal communication), and an outbreak of viral gastroenteritis after swimming in a lake (6). That such outbreaks occur more commonly than reported is suggested by Cabelli's data (7) which show a relationship between swimming water quality and gastrointestinal illness. Swimming-related outbreaks may go unnoticed, since the persons involved may be from diverse places so that public health authorities may not associate the illnesses with swimming. It is only when the epidemic is caused by a discrete and unusual organism or when the affected population is easily defined that public health authorities recognize that an epidemic is occurring.

Water was tested for coliforms after 5 of the 6 gastroenteritis outbreaks and met the current Environmental Protection Agency recommendation for recreational water quality in all but one case. These recommendations were primarily derived

from studies performed 3 decades ago (8). More recent studies indicate that appreciable rates of gastrointestinal illness may occur in persons who swim in water with much lower fecal coliform concentrations than the EPA maximum standard (7). If these findings are used to revise the recreational water quality standards, then recreational water quality may have to be more nearly the quality of drinking water to prevent transmission of enteric pathogens, especially when those which have small infective doses contaminate the recreational water. That more outbreaks do not occur as a result of contaminated recreational water may be due to failure to recognize outbreaks when they do occur and to the rarity with which pathogens contaminate recreational water.

Five outbreaks of dermatitis related to whirlpools and swimming pools were reported for 1980; four were attributed to <u>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</u> and the etiology of the fifth was not determined.

C. Line Listing of Disease Outbreaks Related to Recreational Water Use, 1980

State	Month	Disease	Cases	Nature of Water
ARK	March	Pseudomonas dermatitis	26	Swimming Pool
GA	June-Aug	Conjunctivitis - Adeno virus	15	Swimming Pool
ILL	May	Pseudomonas dermatitis	?	Whirlpool
ILL	June	Shigellosis	15	Lake
IOWA	March	Dermatitis	?	Whirlpool
KAN	July	Shigellosis	60	Lake
LOU	May	Shigellosis	133	Lake
MD	July	AGI	53	Lake
MASS	Jan	Pseudomonas dermatitis	5	Whirlpool
MINN	October	AGI	30	Swimming Pool
NY	July	Shigellosis	127	Lake
TENN	Nov	Pseudomonas dermatitis	47	Swimming Pool

D. References

- 4. Rosenberg ML, Hazlet KK, Schaefer J, et al. Shigellosis from swimming. JAMA 1976;236:1849-1852.
- 5. Center for Disease Control. Outbreak of shigellosis--Medford, Oregon. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Rep 1969;18:403.
- 6. Center for Disease Control. Gastroenteritis associated with lake swimming--Michigan. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Rep 1979;28:413-416.
- 7. Cabelli VJ. Health Effects Quality Criteria for Marine Recreational Waters. Cincinnati, Ohio: Health Effects Research Laboratory, U.S. Env. Prot. Agency. Sept. 1980. (EPA-600/80-031).
- 8. Stevenson AJ. Studies of bathing water quality and health. Am J Pub H. 1953;43:529-538.

IV. OUTBREAKS OF ACUTE GASTROINTESTINAL DISEASE ON OCEAN-GOING VESSELS

A. Sources of Data

After shipboard outbreaks of typhoid fever (9), viral gastroenteritis, and shigellosis (10) in 1971-1973, a review of ships' medical logs revealed an incidence of gastrointestinal illness on passenger cruise ships of 1% or less on 92% of cruises and 5% or greater on 2% of cruises (11). Shortly thereafter, the Bacterial Diseases Division and Quarantine Division, Bureau of Epidemiology, Center for Disease Control, established a surveillance system for shipboard gastrointestinal illness which required vessel masters to report all cases of diarrheal illness seen

by the ship's physician as a part of his request for radio pratique (permission to enter a port). These reports are made by radio 4 to 24 hours before arrival in port and are logged by quarantine officers for forwarding to CDC monthly. In the event that 3% or more passengers on any 1 cruise visit the ship's physician with gastrointestinal illness, a quarantine officer will board and inspect the ship and then telephone a report to the Centers for Disease Control. Based on his report, the Enteric Bacteriology and Epidemiology Branch may perform an in-depth investigation of the outbreak.

The Quarantine Division performs a vessel sanitation inspection on each cruise ship semiannually or more frequently if indicated by poor sanitary ratings. Since the sanitation rating represents the results of an inspection carried out at dockside on a given day, this rating may not reflect the sanitary conditions at sea. In 1978, however, results of the ships' reports of diarrheal illness since 1975 were compared with the vessel sanitation inspection reports for the same period. The number of outbreaks of diarrheal illness was significantly less frequent on vessels with sanitation scores that met the Public Health Service standards than on vessels which did not. (Dannenberg AL, Yashuk JC, Feldman RA. Gastrointestinal illness on passenger cruise ships, 1975-1978. Unpublished manuscript.)

B. Comments

In 1980, CDC personnel investigated 2 outbreaks of diarrheal illness on cruise ships that sailed between U. S. ports and Caribbean or Mexican ports. One was a foodborne epidemic with 108 cases caused by an unknown agent. The second was an outbreak of hepatitis which affected only crew members.

C. References

- 9. Davies JW, Cox KC, Simon WR, et al: Typhoid at sea: Epidemic aboard an ocean liner. Canad Med Assoc J 1972;106:877-83.
- 10. Merson MH, Tenney JH, Meyers JD, et al. Shigellosis at sea: An outbreak aboard a passenger cruise ship. Am J Epidemiol 1975;101:165-75.
- 11. Merson MH, Hughes JM, Wood BT, Yashuk JC, Wells JG. Gastrointestinal illness on passenger cruise ships. JAMA 1975;231:712-7.

♦U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 380 4848 47 0 TH 4848 REGION NO 4